

Sherbourne Common Methods Document

Prepared by:

Research Fellows:

Jane Wolff

Associate Professor

Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape, and Design, University of Toronto

Elise Shelley

CSLA, OALA

Assistant Professor

Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape, and Design, University of Toronto

Research Assistant:

Elise Hunchuck

MLA

Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape, and Design, University of Toronto

This Methods Document accompanies a *Landscape Performance Series* Case Study Brief. It was produced in 2016 through the Landscape Architecture Foundation's *Case Study Investigation* program, a unique research collaboration that matches LAF-funded faculty-student research teams with leading practitioners to document the benefits of exemplary high-performing landscapes.

The full Case Study Brief for this project can be found at:

<https://landscapeperformance.org/case-study-briefs/sherbourne-common>

Landscape Performance Benefits

Environmental Benefit 1: Water conservation

Saves approximately 18,200 gallons of potable water and \$238 annually through the use of treated stormwater and lake water for the ice skating rink.

Calculations

During the winter months, Sherbourne Common uses approximately 9,720 to 26,730 gallons of treated water for the seasonal skating rink. By using rainfall and lake water treated on-site every time the rink is filled (which varies year to year depending on weather), Sherbourne Common saves an average of \$62,880, the retail cost of potable water provided by the City of Toronto. In the future, this system will be supplied by treated stormwater from adjacent sites instead of the lake.

		Water Volume	Water Volume	Water Rates [1]
		m^3	<i>gallons</i>	$\$3.4500 \text{ CAD}/ m^3$
Ice thickness (<i>mm</i>)				
Average	55.2	69.00	18,225.92	\$238

Average ice thickness calculations completed by PFS. Assumptions include that while not a symmetrical rink, grading midpoint is essentially the average thickness of rink ice. Rink size is 919.93 m^2 .

If high point is set a minimum of 25mm thickness, the low-point is 55mm thickness, the average ice thickness would be 40mm (or .04 m) over $919.9259 \text{ m}^2 = 36.797 \text{ m}^3$
 $1 \text{ m}^3 = 264.17 \text{ gallons}$, so $36.797 = 9,720.70 \text{ gallons}$

If low point is set at a maximum of 125mm thickness the high-point is 95mm thickness therefore average ice thickness would be 110mm (or .11m) over $919.9259 \text{ m}^2 = 101.1918 \text{ m}^3$
 $1 \text{ m}^3 = 264.17 \text{ gallons}$, so $101.1918 \text{ m}^3 = 26,731.84 \text{ gallons}$

City of Toronto water rates $\$3.45/ m^3$

$$18,225.92 \text{ gal} \times 1 \text{ m}^3 / 264.172 \text{ gal} \times \$3.45 / 1 \text{ m}^3 = \$238$$

Using the average ice thickness, Sherbourne Common can be estimated to save \$62,879.42 CAD, or \$47,939.27 USD.[2]

[1] Water consumption rates for the City of Toronto range from year to year. These calculations use the 2016 rate, which is \$3.45 CAD per m^3 .

[2] On August 8 2016, the CAD to USD exchange rate = 0.7624 (nominal Bank of Canada rate).

Limitations

Ice thickness measurements were not independently verified by researchers. The rink sometimes needs to be re-frozen, so the potable water saved is likely much more than reported.

Sources

Ice thickness measurements provided by PFS design team.

Please see Bank of Canada, "Daily Currency Converter," found at <http://www.bankofcanada.ca/rates/exchange/daily-converter/>

Please see City of Toronto, "2016 Water Rates," found at http://www.waterfrontoronto.ca/uploads/documents/economic_impact_analysis_2001_2013_1_1.pdf

For a detailed third-party review of construction, design, and future use of Sherbourne Common, please see Michael Cook's "Resurfacing stormwater at the new Sherbourne Common."

Environmental Benefit 2: Energy use

Uses renewable energy for 100% of the power supplied to the pavilion, approximately 9,000 kWh per year.

Calculations

As part of its LEED Gold certification, 100% of the power for the pavilion is provided by Bullfrog Power, a renewable energy provider, for a total of approximately 9,000 kWh/year.

Metrics provided by Waterfront Toronto.

Limitations

Amounts not independently verified by researchers.

Sources

Please see “Social Performance Measures” in Waterfront Toronto’s *Corporate Social Responsibility & Sustainability Report, 2015*.
http://sr.waterfronttoronto.ca/en/resourcesGeneral/Waterfront_Toronto_Full_Report_v2.pdf

Environmental Benefit 3: Carbon sequestration & avoidance

Sequesters an estimated 2,000 lbs of atmospheric carbon annually through the planting of 182 trees.

Calculations

The research team used Toronto’s Live Green assumptions that an average tree size of 6.4 inches diameter sequesters approximately 11 lbs of carbon per year. Tree count conducted by both site visits and PFS design team planting plan.

GHGs reduced from planting trees (lbs) = 182 trees planted x 11 lbs/year = 2,002 lbs of carbon per year

Limitations

Calculations dependent on tree count from PFS. Rule of thumb estimate does not differentiate between tree species or consider actual size.

Sources

PFS ‘Planting Plan’

Please see Toronto’s “LiveGreen Toronto Quantification Guide”
<https://www1.toronto.ca/City%20of%20Toronto/Environment%20and%20Energy/Programs%20for%20Residents/PDFs/Live%20Green%20Grants/Project%20Quantification%20Guidelines%202011.pdf>

Social Benefit 1: Recreational & social value

Serves as a neighborhood anchor, with 70% of 18 surveyed users coming from within 2 miles.

Calculations

Please see Appendix C for detailed survey results including dates, times, weather, number of individuals approached, number of individuals who completed survey, and their responses.

1 kilometer = .62137 mile

2 km = 1.24 mile

Limitations

For University of Toronto conducted surveys, please see the methodology outlined below and in Appendix B and C as approved by the University of Toronto on May 31, 2016.

Our method was to interview visitors to three relatively new public parks along the Toronto waterfront about their experience and perception of the parks and their context. We surveyed a total of 18 people at Sherbourne Common (7 surveys short of our goal) over the course of a three-month period including June, July, and August 2016. Interviews were conducted on each site during a weekend day. The graduate research assistant approached individual subjects, identified herself as a researcher and asked subjects to participate in a voluntary interview designed to gauge the park's social benefits. The interviews were anonymous with no personal data collected.

Sources

Please see Appendix C-CSI survey results.

Appendix A - Resources

Cook, Michael. "Resurfacing stormwater at the new Sherbourne Common." *Vanishing Point*. September 24, 2010. Accessed March 01, 2016.

<http://www.vanishingpoint.ca/sherbourne-common>

"Economic Impact Analysis (2001-2013)." Prepared for Waterfront Toronto by urbanMetrics inc. Accessed May 10, 2016.

http://www.waterfrontoronto.ca/uploads/documents/economic_impact_analysis_2001_2013_1_1.pdf

Hague, Matthew. "50 Reasons To Love Toronto: No. 13, Sherbourne Common is changing the waterfront." *Toronto Life*. June 27, 2011. Accessed March 01, 2016.

<http://torontolife.com/city/reasons-to-love-toronto-sherbourne-common/>

Reshaping Toronto's Waterfront. Editors Gene Desfor and Jennefer Laidley. Toronto: University of Toronto Press, 2011.

Rochon, Lisa. "Sherbourne Common: Clean, green, brainy and blue." *Globe and Mail*. July 29, 2011. Accessed February 26, 2016.

<http://www.theglobeandmail.com/arts/sherbourne-common-clean-green-brainy-and-blue/article4201860/>

"Sherbourne Common." *WATERFRONToronto*. Accessed March 01, 2016.

http://www.waterfrontoronto.ca/sherbourne_common

"Sherbourne Common, Canada's Sugar Beach, and the Water's Edge Promenade."

URBANTORONTO.ca. Accessed March 01, 2016.

<http://urbantoronto.ca/database/projects/sherbourne-common-canadas-sugar-beach-and-waters-edge-promenade>

"Sherbourne Park Fact Sheet." *WATERFRONToronto*. Accessed March 01, 2016.

<http://www.waterfrontoronto.ca/dbdocs/4a688ecd990.pdf>

"Sherbourne Common / PFS Studio." *ArchDaily*. November 20, 2013. Accessed February 25, 2016.

<http://www.archdaily.com/449590/sherbourne-common-pfs-studio>

"Tag Archives: Sherbourne Common." *PFS Studio*. Accessed March 01, 2016.

<http://pfsstudio.com/tag/sherbourne-common/>

"Water's edge promenade and boardwalk." *WATERFRONToronto*. Accessed March 01, 2016.

http://www.waterfrontoronto.ca/explore_projects2/east_bayfront/waters_edge_promenade_and_stormwater_management

“2012 National Honour: Design. Sherbourne Common by Phillips Farevaag Smallerberg.” CSLA. Accessed March 02, 2016. <http://www.csla-aapc.ca/awards-atlas/sherbourne-common>

Appendix B - Social Benefits - Oral interview guide

1. Methodology:

Our method is to interview visitors to three relatively new public parks along the Toronto waterfront about their experience and perception of the park and its context. We anticipate surveying a sample of twenty-five people per site over the course of a two-week period in June 2016. Interviews will be conducted on each site during a weekday afternoon and evening and during a weekend afternoon and evening. Our graduate research assistant will approach individual subjects, identify herself as a researcher and ask subjects to participate in a voluntary interview designed to gauge the park’s social benefits. The interviews will be anonymous and no personal data will be collected.

The interviews will address the following subjects:

- frequency of visits to the park
- distance from the interview subject’s home
- whether the subject typically visits alone or as part of a group
- when the subject’s visits to the park began
- the typical duration of the subject’s visits
- the subject’s activities at the park
- the subject’s perception of the neighbourhood and waterfront and whether those perceptions changed since the opening of the park

Our study will also include a visual assessment of the numbers, ages and genders of people in the park. Our goal is to mirror this distribution in our interview sample.

2. Participants

The study aims to include a cross-sectional sample of people present in the park at any given moment. It is not intended to identify or study a particular group of park users. Participation is voluntary.

3. Potential harms

We are not aware of potential harms as the research method consists of a voluntary short interview (approximately five minutes) carried out in a public place.

4. Privacy and confidentiality

The interview will be anonymous and no personal information will be requested. We will inform potential subjects of these conditions when we ask them to participate.

5. Informed consent

We will ask for oral consent after we have explained the purpose and general outline of the

interview. We will record consent in our notes before beginning the interview.

Oral consent record and interview guide

Date:

Site:

Weather condition:

Time of day:

Number of people in the park:

Approximate age distribution:

Approximate gender distribution:

Obtaining oral consent:

My name is --, and I am a graduate student in landscape architecture at the University of Toronto. May I talk with you about your experience of this park as part of a research study about its social benefits to the community? The study is anonymous and I will not ask for any personal information. You may stop the interview at any time.

Record of consent:

(indicated by researcher)

Interview questions:

How often do you visit the park?

How far is the park from where you live?

Do you usually come to the park by yourself or in a group?

When did you begin visiting the park?

How long do you usually stay?

What do you usually do here?

How do you perceive the neighbourhood and the waterfront?

Contact information regarding Case Study Investigation in Landscape Performance (to be given on 8.5" x 5" card to participants):

Thank you for your participation in our study about the social benefits of this park. If you have any questions about this anonymous research study you may contact the researchers at: landscapeperformance.utoronto@gmail.com. You can also contact the University of Toronto Office of Research Ethics (ethics.review@utoronto.ca, 416-946-3273), for confirmation that participant protection procedures have been followed consistent with:

www.research.utoronto.ca/wp-content/uploads/documents/2014/GUIDE-FOR-INFORMED-CONSENT-V-Oct-2014.pdf

This questionnaire was approved for use by the University of Toronto LAF Case Study Team by the University of Toronto on May 31, 2016.

Appendix C

Social Benefits - Oral interview results

Date: Site: Weather condition: Time of day: Number of people in the park: Approximate age distribution: Approximate gender distribution: F:M Record of consent How often do you visit the park? How far is the park from where you live? Do you usually come to the park by yourself or in a group? When did you begin visiting the park? How long do you usually stay? (minutes) What do you usually do here? How do you perceive the neighbourhood and the waterfront?	June 12 2016 Sherbourne Common 57 - 67 F, partially sunny & windy 10:30am to 11:45am 18 10-45 10:08 Yes once a week within 2 km group 2014 30-45 bringing kids to play quiet	Yes once a week within 2 km group 2014 30-45 bringing kids to play quiet	Yes once a week within 2 km group 2014 15-30 sit at edge of park on boardwalk quiet	Yes once a week within 2 km group 2014 15-30 walk dog, sit at edge of park on boardwalk clean, quiet	Yes once a week within 2 km group 2014 15-30 walk through park quiet park, busy waterfront	Yes once a week within 2 km group 2015 15-30 sit at edge of park on boardwalk quiet park, noisy, disruptive construction	Yes once a week within 2 km group 2014 15-30 walk dog, sit at edge of park on boardwalk not enough retail, a lot of construction	Yes once a week within 2 km group 2014 15-30 walk through park on boardwalk wish water was accessible	Yes once a week > 5km group 2016 15-30 break from bike ride, sit at edge of park on boardwalk wish there was a pool/place to swim nice to be by water	Yes once a month > 5km group 2015 30-60 sit at edge of park on boardwalk wish there was a pool/place to swim	Yes once a week >15 km on their own 2016 15-30 sit at edge of park on boardwalk quiet park, sometimes boardwalk is too busy
---	--	---	--	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	--

Date: Site: Weather condition: Time of day: Number of people in the park: Approximate age distribution: Approximate gender distribution: F:M Record of consent How often do you visit the park? How far is the park from where you live? Do you usually come to the park by yourself or in a group? When did you begin visiting the park? How long do you usually stay? (minutes) What do you usually do here? How do you perceive the neighbourhood and the waterfront?	July 10 2016 Sherbourne Common 63 - 84 F, sunny 10:30am to 11:45am 22 4-50 15:17	Yes once a week >15km both 2014 30 bringing kids to play quiet park, a lot of construction	Yes more than once a week >1km both 2014 30-45 bringing kids to play construction is a deterrant	Yes once a month >1km both 2015 30 bringing kids to play much nicer than before	Yes once a week >5km group 2015 10-15 walk through park nicer than the parking lots before	Yes once a week >3km group 2016 30 break from bike ride (usually) nicer but hard to navigate with car	Yes twice a month >6km group 2016 30 sit at edge of park on boardwalk much nicer than before	Yes once a week >50km on their own 2015 30 walk through park on boardwalk much nicer than before	Yes once a week > 15km both 2016 30 sit at edge of park on boardwalk much nicer than before	Yes twice a month >10 km both 2016 30 sit at edge of park on boardwalk much nicer than before
---	--	---	---	--	---	--	---	---	--	--

Date: Site: Weather condition: Time of day: Number of people in the park: Approximate age distribution: Approximate gender distribution: F:M Record of consent How often do you visit the park? How far is the park from where you live? Do you usually come to the park by yourself or in a group? When did you begin visiting the park? How long do you usually stay? (minutes) What do you usually do here? How do you perceive the neighbourhood and the waterfront?	August 07 2016 Sherbourne Common 63 - 84 F, sunny 10:30am to 11:45am 22 4-65 16:12	Yes once a month > 15km group 2010 60-120 hang out / lay on beach / sit under trees Busier than before	Yes once a month > 15km both 2015 60-120 hang out / lay on beach / sit under trees Busier than before	Yes once a month >1km both 2015 120 sit under trees Busier than before	Yes once a week >1km both 2015 30 have lunch Nice, clean but very busy	Yes once a month >5km group 2015 30-60 sit under trees or on benches Clean and easier to bike	Yes once a month >5km group 2016 30-60 sit under trees or on benches Easier to bike
---	--	---	--	---	---	--	--